Automorphic symmetry and AdS String novel integrable deformations

Anton Pribytok

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Institut für Mathematik und Institut für Physik

Steklov Mathematics Institute

Based on:

arXiv:2003.04332, PRL arXiv:2010.11231, JPhys-A arXiv:2011.08217, JHEP arXiv:2109.00017, JHEP arXiv:2112.10843, Springer arXiv:2210.16348 arXiv:2212.xxxx



Outline

- Introduction: Automorphic symmetries as a tool for finding new integrable structures
 - ► Quantum symmetries and the Boost in continuous systems
 - Discrete integrability and symmetries
 - The correct discrete \mathcal{B} counterpart
 - ► Generalised bottom-up approach: *R*
- Implementation for lattice integrability with $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}^4$ local spaces (*completeness*)

Outline

- Automorphism in AdS_n integrable backgrounds (General method)
- Novel integrable classes arising in string backgrounds: Spin chain picture of 6vB/8vB
- B-class integrable properties: $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$, \mathbb{C}_{AdS_n} , Free fermion
- Further directions in $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathcal{M}^4$ (ABA/TBA, Excitations and more)

Introduction

Definition. Generically a dynamical system is *integrable*, when it possesses *sufficient* number of motion integrals and its dynamics can be described by N < D dof, where D is the dimension of the underlying phase space.

Conventionally, it acquires three characteristics:

- saturating set of conservation laws
- algebraic invariants
- existence of explicit functional solution

Theorem [Liouville]. If the system is Liouville integrable then associated equations of motion are quadrature solvable.

Classically integrable

Apart from present conserved quantities, there also exist **sufficient integrable constraints**.

Provided some matrix algebra \mathfrak{g} along with Jacobi identity to hold on Poisson bracket, one can obtain a constraint on embedded element $\exists r_{12} \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, Classical Yang-Baxter Equation

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ CYBE} \\ \mathcal{C}, \text{ modified-CYBE} \end{cases} \qquad r_{21} = \mathcal{P}r_{12}$$

r being a *constant classical r-matrix*. With mCYBE to play a central role in σ -model integrability.

Quantum Integrable System

The quantum integrability has analogous characteristics, i.e. **quantum mechanical** or **quantum field theoretic** systems contain sufficient number of **motion integrals** (predominantly infinite set) in one spatial dimension ($[\Omega, \mathbb{H}] = 0$).

In particular such **(1+1)-dimensional quantum models**, lead to a very rich integrable structure equipped with variety of important physical properties, e.g. **sine-Gordon**

 $\Box \phi + m^2 \sin \phi = 0$

On the other hand field theories with interaction are accompanied with several shortcomings. As known, one of the central flaws, are **singularities** and one demands a **regularisation scheme** for it.

ヘロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Discretisation

One way to regulate is to discretise the space or introduce a lattice.

That leads to a reduction of the field theoretic model in **finite volume** to a system with **finite dof**.

Such systems are qualified as magnetic chains of quantum spins, or ${\bf Q} {\rm uantum}~{\bf S} {\rm pin}~{\bf C} {\rm hains}.$

Spin chains appear to be a wide **universal class** of quantum integrable models. Moreover there exist *spatial continuous* limits to the associated 2-dim QFTs

$$\delta_{ij}/\Delta = \delta(x-y)$$
 $x = N\Delta$

with N spacings Δ , in the $\Delta \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$ limit x becomes continuous variable.

From continuous setups it is known that a certain generating (conserved) observable, *e.g.* current $\mathcal{J}^{\mu}(x)$ possesses Lorentz transform

$$\mathcal{UJ}^{\mu}(x)\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} \mathcal{J}^{\nu}(\Lambda x)$$

where $\mathcal{U}[\Lambda(u)]$ and Λ^{ν}_{μ} is Lorentz operator with fugacity u. In the limit one acquires the boost

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathrm{d}_{u} \Lambda(u) \big|_{u=0} \qquad [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{J}^{\mu}_{a}(x)] = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \, x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \mathcal{J}^{\mu}_{a}(x) + \epsilon^{\mu\beta} \mathcal{J}^{\mu}_{a,\beta}$$

for the local charge it follows

$$[\mathcal{B}, Q_a] = 0$$
 with $\mathcal{J}_a(\pm \infty) \equiv 0$

Can be shown

$$e^{2\pi i \mathcal{B}} \hat{Q}_{a} e^{-2\pi i \mathcal{B}} = \hat{Q}_{a} - rac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} Q_{a}$$

C is quadratic Casimir of some g in the adjoint representation $(-\delta_{ab}C = f_{acd}f_{cdb}).$

So that one can see that conserved charges transform under ${\cal B}$ as

$$[\mathcal{B}, Q_a] = 0$$
 $\left[\mathcal{B}, \hat{Q}_a\right] = -\frac{\mathcal{C}}{4\pi i}Q_a$

where nonvanishing second commutator is obviously a quantum effect. In fact the boost intertwines **spacetime** and **internal** symmetries, which indicates that quantised \mathcal{Y} manifests more than only an internal symmetry \rightarrow stronger constraining of the symmetry invariants.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Poincaré:} & \left[\mathcal{P}_{-}, \mathcal{P}_{+}\right] = 0, \quad \left[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}_{\pm}\right] = \pm \mathcal{P}_{\pm} \\ \text{Yangian supplement } \mathcal{Y}\left[\mathfrak{g}\right] \colon & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[\mathcal{P}_{\pm}, \mathcal{Q}_{a}\right] = 0 \\ \left[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Q}_{a}\right] = 0 \end{array} \right. & \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_{\pm}, \ \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{a} \\ \left[\mathcal{B}, \ \hat{\mathcal{Q}}\right] = -\mathfrak{C} \frac{\hbar}{4\pi i} \mathcal{Q}_{a} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{P}_{\pm} are lightcone translations, ${}^{(n)}Q_a$ is level-*n* Yangian generators. We can notice that the boost \mathcal{B} generates nontrivial internal symmetry extension [LeClair, Smirnov '91].

$$\rho\left[\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{u}}\otimes\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{u}}\left(\Delta^{\mathsf{op}}\left(a\right)\right)\right]S\left(u-v\right)=S\left(u-v\right)\rho\left[\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{u}}\otimes\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{u}}\left(\Delta\left(a\right)\right)\right]$$

thus intertwining the Yangian evaluation modules.

Discretisation: ISC

An integrable spin chain can be characterised by the hierarchy of mutually commuting conserved quantities, *e.g.* charge operators \mathbb{Q}_2 , \mathbb{Q}_3 , \mathbb{Q}_4 , ... \mathbb{Q}_r , where r denotes interaction range.

One considers local vector space (n = 2s + 1) $\mathfrak{H} \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$, then spin-s chain **configuration space** is given by the *L*-fold tensor product

Complete Fock space:
$$H = \mathfrak{H}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{H}_L \equiv \bigotimes_{i=1}^L \mathfrak{H}_i \qquad \mathfrak{H}_i \simeq \mathfrak{H}_i$$



Important to address the **boundaries** of such quantum systems, since it can lead to very distinct physical description and properties. That could be seen from canonical **Heisenberg integrable class**.

Anton Pribytok

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformations

Boundary Conditions



Distinct boundary conditions correspond to different types of integrable spin chains. $\partial \Sigma_i$ is a specific boundary and $V_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is *n*-dimensional local quantum space at site *i*.

One can classify 5 main boundary types for the spin chain

- Infinite spin chain: $-\infty \leftarrow \partial \Sigma_1$, $\partial \Sigma_2 \rightarrow +\infty$
- Semi-infinite spin chain: $\partial \Sigma_1 = V_0, \ \partial \Sigma_2 \to +\infty$
- Open spin chain: $\partial \Sigma_1 = V_0$, $\partial \Sigma_2 = V_{L+1}$
- Closed spin chain: (0) \rightarrow (L+1), $\partial \Sigma_1 = \partial \Sigma_2 = V_{L+1}$
- Cyclic spin chain: $\partial \Sigma_1 = \partial \Sigma_2 = V_{L+1}$ along with shift symmetry $i \rightarrow i \pm 1$, where the total spin chain momentum of excitations P = 0.

Integrable Spin Chain $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}^2$

A quantum discrete system with nearest-neighbour (two-body) ferromagnetic interaction is described by the Hamiltonian (*Heisenberg class*)

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{L}} \left(J_{i}^{x} S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + J_{i}^{y} S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + J_{i}^{z} S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z} \right) + 1 \text{-body-interactions}$$

where J_i^k are real positive numbers at each site of the spin chain, S_k are spin operators. By considering various coupling $J_{x,y,z}$ interrelations one finds different spin chains (XX, XY, XXX, XXZ, XYZ etc)

Shifts:
$$\mathbb{Q}_1 \equiv P$$

NN-interacting charge: $\mathbb{Q}_2 \equiv H$
 $H = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \mathcal{H}_{n,n+1}$ $H_{L,L+1} \equiv H_{L,1}$ Close



Closed Heisenberg Chain Class

QYBE

But now with a quantum integrable constraint



2-dim scattering factorisation in integrable systems

Hence sufficient condition is described by **Quantum YBE** [Yang-Yang '66, Baxter '72] [Drinfeld '85]

 $R_{12}(z_1, z_2) R_{13}(z_1, z_3) R_{23}(z_2, z_3) = R_{23}(z_2, z_3) R_{13}(z_1, z_3) R_{12}(z_1, z_2)$

Quantum *R*-matrix: $R_{ij} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V})$

$$R: \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} \qquad R_{12}(z,z) = P_{12}$$

$$R_{ab}(u,v)R_{ac}(u,w)R_{bc}(v,w) = R_{bc}(v,w)R_{ac}(u,w)R_{ab}(u,v)$$

In particular, one can consider **difference** (or additive) spectral form QYBE

$$R_{ab}(u,v)
ightarrow R_{ab}(u-v)$$

in addition from *R*-monodromy one can establish

$$\mathbb{Q}_{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{L} R_{n,n+1}^{-1}(0) \frac{d}{du} R_{n,n+1} \equiv \sum_{n} \mathcal{Q}_{n,n+1}$$

with $\ensuremath{\mathcal{Q}}$ to denote local densities. In general we know that

$$\mathbb{Q}_r \equiv \sum_n \mathcal{Q}_{n,n+1,\dots,n+r-1} = -\frac{i}{(r-1)!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{r-1}}{\mathrm{d}u^{r-1}} \log\left[t(u)\right]\Big|_{u=\frac{i}{2}}$$

however a method is required to construct all higher charges.

Emergence of Automorphic symmetry

One can note that it is useful to consider $R\mathcal{LL}$ relation along the lines of QISM

$$R_{12}(v)\mathcal{L}_{10}(u+v)\mathcal{L}_{20}(u) = \mathcal{L}_{20}(u)\mathcal{L}_{10}(u+v)R_{12}(v)$$

By differential properties and multiplication of monodromic complements, one can obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{L}_{0,j} \left[\mathcal{L}_{0,k} \mathcal{L}_{0,k+1}, \mathcal{H}_{k,k+1} \right] \prod_{n=k+2}^{L} \mathcal{L}_{0,n} =$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{L}_{0,j} \mathcal{L}_{0,k} \mathcal{L}'_{0,k+1} \prod_{n=k+2}^{L} \mathcal{L}_{0,n} - \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{L}_{0,j} \mathcal{L}'_{0,k} \mathcal{L}_{0,k+1} \prod_{n=k+2}^{L} \mathcal{L}_{0,n}$$

which after performing resummation and boundary limits

$$i\left[\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}k\mathcal{H}_{k,k+1},T(u)
ight]=\mathrm{d}_{u}T(u)$$

Anton Pribytok

Automorphic condition

that would result in

Q

$$i[\mathcal{B},t] = \dot{t}$$

with $T \equiv d_u T(u)$ and transfer matrix t(u). It can be noted, that it constitutes nothing but a discrete form of the field theoretic boost symmetry with a discretisation scheme $\int x dx \mapsto \sum_k k$. One can straightforwardly identify the generating scheme

$$Q_{2} = \mathcal{U}^{-1} [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{U}]$$

$$g_{3} = \frac{i}{2} \left[\mathcal{U}^{-1} [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{U}Q_{2}] - \mathcal{Q}_{2}\mathcal{Q}_{2} \right] = \frac{i}{2} \left[\underbrace{(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{Q}_{2})}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}_{2} - \mathcal{Q}_{2}\mathcal{B} \right] = \frac{i}{2} [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Q}_{2}]$$

$$Q_{4} = \frac{i}{3} [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Q}_{3}]$$

$$\dots$$

$$Q_{r+1} = \frac{i}{r} [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{Q}_{r}]$$

. . .

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformation



First and second order of the expansion, corresponding to the shift operator ${\cal U}$ and product of the shift and Hamiltonian of the system

\mathcal{B} oost automorphism

From field theoretic perspective, **higher symmetries** [Tetelman '82] are reflected not only at the level of the **Poincaré algebra**, but would also admit infinite dimensional extension of **internal symmetries**, where the boost $\mathcal{B}[\cdot]$ manifests its **automorphic** nature

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathbb{Q}_2]\equiv\sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty k\mathcal{H}_{k,k+1} \quad o \quad \mathbb{Q}_{r+1}\simeq [\mathcal{B}[\mathbb{Q}_2],\mathbb{Q}_r]$$



Range *n* local charges Q_n

\mathcal{B} oost automorphism

Rigorously the boost operator is defined for infinite length chains. However analytic proof for $[\mathbb{Q}_2, \mathbb{Q}_3] = 0$ sufficiency^{*}, i.e. \forall levels of the integrable hierarchy **not present**. [Reshetikhin and Grabowski-Mathieu conjecture '95]

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \mathcal{Q}_2 \\ \bigcirc \mathcal{O} \\ \bigcirc \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}_3} \bigcirc \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q}_{r+1} \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q}_{r+1} \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \equiv 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \\ \hline [\cdot, \cdot] \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}[\cdot] \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}$$

Boost operator automorphically generates the conserved charge hierarchy.

The Boost automorphism on the conserved charges can be related to Drinfeld automorphism on \mathcal{Y} -algebra.

Ansatz for automorphism

In the case of \mathbb{C}^2 local space with the 2 \times 2 spin σ^a embedding

$$\sigma_n^a = \mathbb{1} \otimes \ldots \underbrace{\otimes \sigma^a \otimes}_n \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}$$

One can demand generic Hamiltonian ansatz

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = \mathsf{A}_{ab} \ \sigma^a \otimes \sigma^b$$

Resolution structure already emerges from the first commutator

$$\begin{split} [\mathbb{Q}_2, \mathbb{Q}_3] &= \sum_{m,n} \mathcal{A}_{ab} \mathcal{A}_{efg} \left[\dots \sigma_m^a \sigma_{m+1}^b \dots \dots \sigma_n^e \sigma_{n+1}^f \sigma_{n+2}^g \dots \right] \\ &\equiv \mathcal{C}_{abef} \sum_m \dots \sigma_m^a \sigma_{m+1}^b \sigma_{m+2}^e \sigma_{m+3}^f \dots \end{split}$$

and is hypothetically completely constraining. Generically $[\mathbb{Q}_r, \mathbb{Q}_s]$ commutator provides $\frac{1}{2}(3^{r+s-1}-1)$ polynomial equations of degree r+s-2.

To obtain generating solutions, reduction transformations must be applied to the full solution space

Transformations

- Choice of appropriate normalisation of \mathcal{H} and addition of $\mathfrak{C}_i \cdot \mathbb{1}$
- Local basis transform

$$ilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\{i_1...i_L\}} = \Big(\bigotimes_L \mathcal{V}\Big) \mathcal{Q}_{\{i_1...i_L\}}\Big(\bigotimes_L \mathcal{V}^{-1}\Big)$$

with unimodular \mathcal{V} .

• Set of discrete transforms

R(u)	\leftrightarrow	${\cal H}$
PR(u)P	\leftrightarrow	РНР
$R(u)^T$	\leftrightarrow	$P\mathcal{H}^T P$
$PR(u)^T P$	\leftrightarrow	\mathcal{H}^{T}

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformations

- 4 回 ト - 4 三 ト

New classes: \mathfrak{sl}_2 deformed sector

One more new $\ensuremath{\mathcal{H}}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_6 = egin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_2 & 0 \ 0 & -a_1 & 2a_1 & -a_2 \ 0 & 2a_1 & -a_1 & -a_2 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

together with the unitary R matrix

$$R_{6}(u) = {}_{(1-a_{1}u)(1+2a_{1}u)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a_{2}u}{2a_{1}u} & \frac{a_{2}u}{2a_{1}u+1} & -\frac{a_{2}^{2}u^{2}(2a_{1}u+1)}{2a_{1}u+1} & -\frac{a_{2}u}{2a_{2}u} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2a_{1}u+1} & \frac{2a_{1}u}{2a_{1}u+1} & -a_{2}u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The six new classes develop **non-diagonalisability**, some are nilpotent and some exhibit distinct eigenspectrum – associated conserved charges develop **non-trivial Jordan blocks**.

Physical? Relation to conformal fishchain in the continuum? Temperley-Lieb or Hecke systems? Constitute **higher-parametric** deformations of Kulish-Stolin (deformed $\mathcal{Y}[\mathfrak{sl}_2]$) [Kulish, Stolin] [Alcaraz, Droz, Henkel, Rittenberg '93]

Graded space

We also extend our results to graded vector spaces $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$

$$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \mathbb{V}_1 \quad \dim \mathbb{V}_0 = m \quad \dim \mathbb{V}_1 = n \quad \begin{cases} g(i) = 0, \ 1 \le i \le m \\ g(i) = 1, \ \text{with} \ m \le i \le m + n \end{cases}$$

with grading g(i), $i \in \{1, ..., m + n\}$. For bosofermionic setting one obtains i = 1, 2 for $C^{1|1}$, although integrable case restricts to **even sector**.

The *RTT* relation and graded ansatz constitute

$$T_{a}(u)T_{b}(u) = R^{-1}(u-v)T_{a}(u)T_{b}(u)R(u-v) \quad STr(\mathfrak{O}_{A}\mathfrak{O}_{B}) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{g}(A)+\mathfrak{g}(B)}STr(\mathfrak{O}_{B}\mathfrak{O}_{A})$$

$$\begin{cases}
Q_{2} = \sum \mathcal{A}_{ijkl}E_{ij} \otimes_{g} E_{kl} \\
\mathcal{O}_{I}\mathcal{O}_{II} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{e}_{I,i} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{e}_{I,j} & \rightarrow \\
= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|\mathfrak{e}_{I,i}|} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |\mathfrak{e}_{I,j}| \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} \mathfrak{e}_{I,k}\mathfrak{e}_{II,k}
\end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix}
A_{1111} & A_{1112} & A_{1211} & A_{1212} \\
A_{1121} & A_{1222} & A_{1221} & A_{1222} \\
A_{2111} & A_{2112} & A_{2211} & A_{2212} \\
A_{2121} & A_{2122} & A_{2221} & A_{2222}
\end{pmatrix}$$

solution of YBE_g with $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ establishes bijection $\mathbb{C}^{1|1} \to \mathbb{C}^2$

$$R(u) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(u) & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_1 d_1(u) \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 b_1(u) & c_1(u) & 0 \\ 0 & c_2(u) & \epsilon_2 b_2(u) & 0 \\ -\epsilon_1 d_2(u) & 0 & 0 & -a_2(u) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_1(u) & 0 & 0 & d_1(u) \\ 0 & b_1(u) & c_1(u) & 0 \\ 0 & c_2(u) & b_2(u) & 0 \\ d_2(u) & 0 & 0 & a_2(u) \end{pmatrix}$$

An alternative to Kulish-Sklyanin proof on graded QYBE bijection.

Anton Pribytok

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformations

$\mathfrak{su}(2)\times\mathfrak{su}(2)$ Hubbard type models

Hubbard model

One can try to generalise the prescription to higher dimensions. A wide class of models lies in **four-dimensional Hilbert space**, where site can be either vacant, occupied by a single fermion with spin up or down, or by a pair of fermions, *e.g.* Hubbard model

$$\mathbb{H}^{(Hub)} = \sum_{i} \sum_{\alpha=\uparrow,\downarrow} (\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,i}^{\dagger}\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,i+1} + \mathsf{c}_{\alpha,i+1}^{\dagger}\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,i}) + \mathfrak{u}\,\mathsf{n}_{\uparrow,i}\mathsf{n}_{\downarrow,i}$$

- The kinetic part is a **hopping term**, which allows neighboring-site dynamics
- Potential term measures the number of fermionic pairs on each site (u sets the overall scale)

Hubbard Type Symmetry

- 1). We take models which have $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ symmetry
- 2). Models whose kinetic part is given by \mathbb{H}_{kin}^{Hub} .

The Hubbard model itself does not appear as one of the solutions, since its *R*-matrix has non-difference spectral dependence. [Shastry Class]

For **Hubbard type** models, one recovers spin chains with $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{su}(4), \mathfrak{su}(2|2), \mathfrak{sp}(4)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ symmetry algebras.

Additional Twist

In addition to integrable transforms, one now requires also a twist

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{R}_{\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W}} = (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}) R (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W})^{-1} \\ [R, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}] = [R, \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}] = 0 \end{cases}$$

The two-particle representations for the Hubbard type Ansatz arise as pure or mixed pairs

2-particle modules

 $\mathfrak{su}(2)\times\mathfrak{su}(2)$ invariant Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}|\phi_{a}\phi_{b}\rangle &= A|\phi_{a}\phi_{b}\rangle + B|\phi_{b}\phi_{a}\rangle + C\epsilon_{ab}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}|\psi_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta}\rangle \\ \mathcal{H}|\phi_{a}\psi_{\beta}\rangle &= G|\phi_{a}\psi_{\beta}\rangle + H|\psi_{\beta}\phi_{a}\rangle \\ \mathcal{H}|\psi_{\alpha}\phi_{b}\rangle &= K|\psi_{\alpha}\phi_{b}\rangle + L|\phi_{b}\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \\ \mathcal{H}|\psi_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta}\rangle &= D|\psi_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta}\rangle + E|\psi_{\beta}\psi_{\alpha}\rangle + F\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}|\phi_{a}\phi_{b}\rangle \end{split}$$

 $\phi_{1,2}$ and $\psi_{1,2}$ span the two independent $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ fundamental representations.

The most general two-site operator that commutes with both $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ oscillator representations contains ten parameters

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}_{12} &= \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \left[(c_{\alpha,1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha,2} + c_{\alpha,1} c_{\alpha,2}^{\dagger}) (C_1 + C_2 (n_{\beta,1} - n_{\beta,2})^2) + \right. \\ &\left. (c_{\alpha,1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha,2} - c_{\alpha,1} c_{\alpha,2}^{\dagger}) (C_3 (n_{\beta,1} - \frac{1}{2}) + C_4 (n_{\beta,2} - \frac{1}{2})) \right] \\ &+ (c_{\uparrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2} + c_{\uparrow,1} c_{\downarrow,1} c_{\uparrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2}^{\dagger}) C_5 + (c_{\uparrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,1} c_{\downarrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,2} + c_{\downarrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,1} c_{\uparrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2}) C_6 \\ &+ C_7 (n_{\uparrow,1} - \frac{1}{2}) (n_{\downarrow,1} - \frac{1}{2}) + C_8 (n_{\uparrow,2} - \frac{1}{2}) (n_{\downarrow,2} - \frac{1}{2}) \\ &+ C_9 (n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\downarrow,1})^2 (n_{\uparrow,2} - n_{\downarrow,2})^2 + \\ &+ (C_5 - C_6) (n_{\uparrow,1} n_{\downarrow,1} + n_{\uparrow,2} n_{\downarrow,2} - 1) (n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\uparrow,2}) (n_{\downarrow,1} - n_{\downarrow,2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} C_5 ((n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\downarrow,2})^2 + (n_{\downarrow,1} - n_{\uparrow,2})^2) + C_0, \end{split}$$

$$C_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(B + G + K), C_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(L - H), C_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(C - F + H - L),$$

$$C_{3} = \frac{1}{2}(H + L - C - F), C_{4} = \frac{1}{2}(C + F + H + L), C_{5} = -B, C_{6} = E,$$

$$C_{7} = 2A + B - 2K, C_{8} = 2A + B - 2G, C_{9} = A + B + D + E - G - K.$$

Anton Pribytok

A B A A B A

Solution classes

Model	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	ĸ	L
I	ρ	$-\rho$	0	0	0	0	а	$ ho e^{-\phi}$	2 ho - a	$ ho e^{\phi}$
II	0	0	0	ρ	ρ	0	а	$ ho e^{-\phi}$	2 ho - a	$ ho e^{\phi}$
III	ρ	$-\rho$	$ ho e^{-\phi}$	$-\rho$	ρ	$- ho e^{\phi}$	0	0	0	0
IV	ρ	$-\rho$	$ ho e^{-\phi}$	ρ	$-\rho$	$ ho e^{\phi}$	0	0	0	0
V	$\frac{7}{4}\rho$	$-\rho$	$\frac{1}{2}\rho e^{-\phi}$	$\frac{7}{4}\rho$	$-\rho$	$\frac{1}{2}\rho e^{\phi}$	0	0	0	0
VI	0	0	0	а	0	0	b	0	С	0
VII	0	0	0	0	0	0	а	Ь	с	d
VIII	0	0	0	a + c	0	0	а	Ь	С	d
IX	ρ	$-\rho$	0	ρ	$-\rho$	0	а	$ ho e^{-\phi}$	2 ho - a	$ ho {m e}^{\phi}$
Х	ρ	$-\rho$	0	ρ	ρ	0	а	$ ho e^{-\phi}$	2 ho - a	$ ho {m e}^{\phi}$
XI	ρ	$-\rho$	$\frac{1}{2}\rho e^{-\phi}$	ρ	$-\rho$	$\frac{1}{2}\rho e^{\phi}$	$\frac{3}{2}\rho$	$-\frac{3}{2}\rho$	$\frac{3}{2}\rho$	$-\frac{3}{2}\rho$
XII	0	0	$- ho e^{-\phi}$	0	0	$ ho e^{\phi}$	0	ρ	0	$-\rho$

Table: Hubbard type \mathcal{H} -generators in the non-graded sector

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformations

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Generalized Hubbard model

Hubbard prescription can be straightforwardly extended to include additional (*integrable*) interactions or potential deformations

$$\mathcal{K}_{\textit{Hub}} = \sum_{\alpha = \uparrow,\downarrow} (\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,1}^{\dagger}\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,2} + \mathsf{c}_{\alpha,2}^{\dagger}\mathsf{c}_{\alpha,1}) \qquad \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_{\textit{Hub}} + \mathcal{K}_{\textit{pair}} + \mathcal{K}_{\textit{flip}} + V$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_{\textit{pair}} &= A_1 c_{\uparrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2} c_{\downarrow,2} + A_2 c_{\uparrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,1} c_{\downarrow,1} \\ \mathcal{K}_{\textit{flip}} &= A_3 c_{\uparrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,1} c_{\uparrow,2} + A_4 c_{\downarrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,1} c_{\downarrow,2} + A_5 c_{\uparrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,1} c_{\downarrow,2} \\ &+ A_6 c_{\downarrow,1}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow,2}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow,1} c_{\uparrow,2} \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} V &= B_1 + B_2 \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} + B_3 \, \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} + B_4 \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} + \\ &B_5 \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} + B_6 \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} + B_7 \, \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} + B_8 \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} + \\ &B_9 \, \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} + B_{10} \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} + B_{11} \, \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} + B_{12} \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} + \\ &B_{13} \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} + B_{14} \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} + B_{15} \, \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} + B_{16} \, \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,1} \mathbf{n}_{\uparrow,2} \mathbf{n}_{\downarrow,2} \end{split}$$

Integrable solutions in 4-dim

Applying boost procedure, one can find four integrable models

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}^{(15)} &= \mathcal{K}_{Hub} + a_1 (n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\uparrow,2})^2 + a_2 (n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\uparrow,2}) + a_3 (n_{\downarrow,1} - n_{\downarrow,2})^2 + a_4 (n_{\downarrow,1} - n_{\downarrow,2}) \\ \mathcal{H}^{(16)} &= \mathcal{K}_{Hub} + a_1 (n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\uparrow,2})^2 + a_2 (n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\uparrow,2}) + a_3 (n_{\downarrow,1} + n_{\downarrow,2}) + a_4 (n_{\downarrow,1} - n_{\downarrow,2}) \\ \mathcal{H}^{(17)} &= \mathcal{K}_{Hub} + a_1 (n_{\uparrow,1} + n_{\uparrow,2}) + a_2 (n_{\uparrow,1} - n_{\uparrow,2}) + a_3 (n_{\downarrow,1} + n_{\downarrow,2}) + a_4 (n_{\downarrow,1} - n_{\downarrow,2}) \end{split}$$

There are no models with $\mathcal{K}_{pair} \neq 0$. A model with non-trivial spin flip and potential part

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}^{(18)} &= \mathcal{K}_{Hub} + a \Big(c^{\dagger}_{\uparrow,1} c^{\dagger}_{\downarrow,2} c_{\downarrow,1} c_{\uparrow,2} + c^{\dagger}_{\downarrow,1} c^{\dagger}_{\uparrow,2} c_{\uparrow,1} c_{\downarrow,2} + c^{\dagger}_{\uparrow,1} c^{\dagger}_{\uparrow,2} c_{\downarrow,1} c_{\downarrow,2} + c^{\dagger}_{\downarrow,1} c^{\dagger}_{\downarrow,2} c_{\uparrow,1} c_{\uparrow,2} \Big) \\ &+ (2a - b) (\mathsf{n}_{\uparrow,1} + \mathsf{n}_{\downarrow,1}) + b (\mathsf{n}_{\uparrow,2} + \mathsf{n}_{\downarrow,2}) - a (\mathsf{n}_{\uparrow,1} + \mathsf{n}_{\downarrow,1}) (\mathsf{n}_{\uparrow,2} + \mathsf{n}_{\downarrow,2}) \end{split}$$

this model does not preserve spin orientation and is specific type of XYZ deformation of the Hubbard potential.

Complete YBE solution space

- Complete set of integrable \mathbb{C}^2 -models found (*-magnets, Heisenberg, multivertex models)
- Novel multiparametric \$\$\vec{sl}_2\$ sector, with associated deformed \$\mathcal{Y}(\$\$\vec{sl}_2\$) [de Leeuw, AP, Ryan '19], which includes 4 nontrivial families with up to **5 parameters**.
- In the C⁴ space we have found new models, that exhibit fermion pair formation and generalised Hubbard type models with most generic potential that are integrable [de Leeuw, AP, Retore, Ryan '19]. (BA not applicable, a Quantum Spectral Curve for the latter is in progress).

$\mathsf{AdS}/\mathsf{CFT}\ \mathsf{Integrability}$

In particular AdS/CFT integrability implies agreement of global symmetries on both sides of the correspondence, e.g. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal symmetry and $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superspace isometries are described by covering supergroup $\widetilde{PSU}(2,2|4)$. It is based on $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ Lie superalgebra of dimension 30|32 (even| odd).

Having $4|4-\mathbb{C}$ supermatrices

$$\mathfrak{M} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right) \quad A, D \text{ even and } B, C \text{ odd } 4 \times 4 \mathbb{C}\text{-blocks (NonGraßmann)}$$

with Lie bracket being graded supermatrix commutator $[\cdot,\cdot\,\}$

$$\begin{split} [\mathfrak{M},\mathfrak{R}\} &= \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{R} - (-1)^{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{R}}\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{M} \quad \mathsf{STr}\ \mathfrak{M} = \mathsf{Tr} A - \mathsf{Tr} D \quad \mathsf{STr}\ [\mathfrak{M},\mathfrak{R}\} = 0\\ (-1)^{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{T}}\ [\mathfrak{[M},\mathfrak{R}\},\mathfrak{T}\} + (-1)^{\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{M}}\ [\mathfrak{[R},\mathfrak{T}\},\mathfrak{M}\} + (-1)^{\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}}\ [\mathfrak{[T},\mathfrak{M}\},\mathfrak{R}\} = 0 \end{split}$$

By appropriate supertrace restriction and centre projection 30|32-dim $\mathfrak{psl}(4|4,\mathbb{C})$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{gl}(4|4,\mathbb{C})$

Anton Pribytok

Sigma models on coset superspaces S^3 sigma model as SU(2) PCM

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{J}_+, \mathcal{J}_-\right] \qquad \mathcal{J} = g^{-1}dg \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

which under extensions could be generalised to supercoset model

$$\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{F}}}{\mathfrak{f}} = \frac{\hat{G} \times \hat{G}}{\mathfrak{f}} \qquad S_{MT} = \int d^2 x \operatorname{STr}\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_+ \mathcal{J}_+\right) \mathcal{J}_-\right] \quad [\operatorname{Metsaev}, \text{ Tseytlin '98}]$$

with bosonic diagonal subgroup \mathfrak{f} of factorised supergroup $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}=\hat{G}\times\hat{G}$

 $AdS_n \times S^n = \hat{G}/H$ supercosets, with superisometry \hat{G} include:

•
$$AdS_5 \times S^5 \longrightarrow \frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(1,4) \times SO(5)}$$

• $AdS_3 \times S^3 \longrightarrow \frac{PSU(1,1|2) \times PSU(1,1|2)}{SO(1,2) \times SO(3)}$
• $AdS_2 \times S^2 \longrightarrow \frac{PSU(1,1|2)}{SO(1,1) \times SO(2)}$

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformation

Automorphic non-difference integrability Quantum integrability consistency

$$R_{12}(u,v)R_{13}(u,w)R_{23}(v,w) = R_{23}(v,w)R_{13}(u,w)R_{12}(u,v)$$

where $R_{ij}(x_i, y_j) \neq R_{ij}(x_i - y_j)$, with transfer matrix provided accordingly

$$\mathcal{T}(u,\theta) = tr_0 \left[R_{0L}(0,\theta_L) \dots R_{01}(0,\theta_1) \right]$$

In present setting one can restrict to space with regular R and homogeneous limit of NN-spin-chain

$$\mathbb{Q}_2(heta) = \sum_k \mathcal{H}_{k,k+1} \qquad \mathcal{H}(heta) = P rac{dR(u, heta)}{du} \Big|_{u o heta} \qquad R_{ij}(u,u) = P_{ij}$$

Generically one can generate integrable hierarchy of commuting charges

$$\mathbb{Q}_{r+1} \simeq \frac{d^r \log \left[\mathcal{T}(u, \theta)\right]}{du^r}\Big|_{u \to \theta} \qquad [\mathbb{Q}_r, \mathbb{Q}_s] = 0$$

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformation

Automorphic non-difference integrability

As first step, in the present setting it is possible to find generalised (extended) solution space from the commuting tower \mathbb{Q}_r , that will define set of algebraic constraints. It possible to proceed with transfer derivatives and use RTT-algebra, but instead it could accomplished by the **generating automorphism**

Generalised \mathcal{B} oost

$$\mathcal{B}\left[\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} k \mathcal{H}_{k,k+1}(\theta) + \partial_{\theta} \qquad \mathbb{Q}_{r+1} = \left[\mathcal{B}\left[\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right], \mathbb{Q}_{r}\right] \quad r > 1$$

$$[\mathbb{Q}_{r+1}, \mathbb{Q}_2] \Rightarrow [[\mathcal{B}[\mathbb{Q}_2], \mathbb{Q}_r], \mathbb{Q}_2] + [d_{\theta}\mathbb{Q}_r, \mathbb{Q}_2] = 0$$

from here follows first order nonlinear ODE coupled system.

R- and *S*-matrices arising in string integrable backgrounds possess arbitrary spectral dependence. Is there a technique to find the underlying R-matrix?

Anton Pribytok

Constructing the *R*-matrix

Constraints

To obtain R-matrix, one can expand YBE to first order and associate spectral parameters, which will result in coupled differential system for R

$$\begin{cases} [R_{13}R_{23}, \mathcal{H}_{12}(u)] = (\partial_u R_{13})R_{23} - R_{13}(\partial_u R_{23}) & u_1 = u_2 \equiv u \\ [R_{13}R_{12}, \mathcal{H}_{23}(v)] = (\partial_v R_{13})R_{12} - R_{13}(\partial_v R_{12}) & u_2 = u_3 \equiv v \end{cases}$$

with $R_{ij} = R_{ij}(u, v)$ and equations are reduction from Sutherland equation.

Symmetries

- Norm and shift
- Reparameterised: $R(\mathfrak{f}(u),\mathfrak{f}(v))$ satisfies YBE
- Local Basis Transform: $R^{\mathcal{V}}(u, v) = [\mathcal{V}(u) \otimes \mathcal{V}(v)] R(u, v) [\mathcal{V}(u) \otimes \mathcal{V}(v)]^{-1}$
- Discrete Transform: *PRP*, R^T and PR^TP satisfy YBE from *R*.
- Twisted sector: for any two \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 with *R*-symmetries $[\mathfrak{T}_{1,2} \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{1,2}, R] = 0$, then $[\mathfrak{T}_1(u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_2(v)] R [\mathfrak{T}_2(u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_1(v)]^{-1}$

Gauge/Gravity Integrability

AdS₃/CFT₂ defines AdS₃ × S³ × M⁴ under two geometries that preserve 16 supercharges

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}^4 = T^4, \text{with } \mathfrak{psu}(1,1|2)^2\\ \mathcal{M}^4 = S^3 \times S^1, \text{with } \mathfrak{d}(2,1;\alpha)^2 \sim \mathfrak{d}(2,1;\alpha)_L \oplus \mathfrak{d}(2,1;\alpha)_R \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \end{cases}$$

where α relates radii of the spheres.

For AdS₂ × S² × T⁶, psu(1,1|2) ∋ Z₄ automorphism, but no gauge choice for κ-symmetry

AdS_{2,3} embedding

- For *R*-matrix of the $AdS_{2,3}$ consisting of different chirality 4×4 blocks, that satisfy qYBE.
- We find novel deformed Hamiltonians of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$ and $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times T^6$ type.
- AdS₃ admits either continuous family of deformations (**spectral functional shifts**) if mapped to **6-vB** or **single-parameter elliptic deformation** if mapped to **8-vB**.
- On the other hand, massive $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times T^6$ is of **8-vB** type and admits single-parameter deformation.



8v-A-B Classes

$$R^{8vA}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} sn(\eta + z) & 0 & 0 & k sn(\eta)sn(z)sn(\eta + z) \\ 0 & sn(z) & sn(\eta) & 0 \\ 0 & sn(\eta) & sn(z) & 0 \\ k sn(\eta)sn(z)sn(\eta + z) & 0 & 0 & sn(\eta + z) \end{pmatrix}$$

8-vertex B class

$$r_{1} = \Sigma(u, v) \left[\sin \eta_{+} \frac{\mathrm{cn}}{\mathrm{dn}} - \cos \eta_{+} \mathrm{sn} \right]$$

$$r_{2} = -\Sigma(u, v) \left[\cos \eta_{-} \mathrm{sn} + \sin \eta_{-} \frac{\mathrm{cn}}{\mathrm{dn}} \right]$$

$$r_{3} = -\Sigma(u, v) \left[\cos \eta_{-} \mathrm{sn} - \sin \eta_{-} \frac{\mathrm{cn}}{\mathrm{dn}} \right]$$

$$r_{4} = \Sigma(u, v) \left[\sin \eta_{+} \frac{\mathrm{cn}}{\mathrm{dn}} + \cos \eta_{+} \mathrm{sn} \right]$$

$$r_{5} = r_{6} = 1, \qquad r_{7} = r_{8} = k \mathrm{sn} \frac{\mathrm{cn}}{\mathrm{dn}}$$

with elliptic functions to be xn = xn(u - v, k^2), $\Sigma(u, v) = [\sin \eta(u) \sin \eta(v)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\eta_{\pm} \equiv \frac{\eta(u) - \eta(v)}{2}$ for arbitrary function $\eta(u)$ and constant k.

・ロット 御り とうりょうり しつ

The four block implementation will result in the R operator of the form

1	r_1^{LL}	0	0	0	0	r_8^{LL}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 \	
	0	r_2^{LL}	0	0	r_6^{LL}	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ĺ
	0	0	r_1^{LR}	0	0	0	0	r_8^{LR}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	r_2^{LR}	0	0	r_6^{LR}	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	r_5^{LL}	0	0	r ₃ LL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	r_7^{LL}	Ō	0	0	Ō	r_4^{LL}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	r_5^{LR}	0	0	r_3^{LR}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	r_7^{LR}	0	0	0	0	r_4^{LR}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_1^{RL}	0	0	0	0	r_8^{RL}	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_2^{RL}	0	0	r_6^{RL}	Ō	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_1^{RR}	0	0	0	0	r_8^{RR}	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_2^{RR}	0	0	r_6^{RR}	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_5^{RL}	0	0	r_3^{RL}	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_7^{RL}	0	0	0	0	r_4^{RL}	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_5^{RR}	0	0	r_3^{RR}	0	
(0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	r_7^{RR}	0	0	0	0	r_4^{RR} /	

where $r_k^{\mathcal{X}} \equiv r_k^{\mathcal{X}}(u, v)$, $\mathcal{X} \in \{\text{LL, RR, LR, RL}\}$ and R will correspond to the full 16×16 R-matrix (if not stated otherwise).

Structure and Properties: $AdS_{\{2,3\}}$ deformed Limits

Reductions

- Important that $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ can be obtained from 6-vertex B (trigonometric) by appropriate parametric identification in Zhukovsky space (one can build $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ *R*-/*S*-matrix from $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ with $\alpha \to \{0, 1\}$ limits).
- As well as 8-vertex model B (elliptic), which is a deformation of $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times T^6$.
- Moreover two-parameter q-deformed R-matrix that underlies double deformed σ -model can be embedded into 6vB model.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

AdS₃ 2-parameter deformation

It is possible to construct two-parameter deformation of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$ backgrounds, by deforming each copy of the factorised supergroup

$$S = \int d^{2}x \, \text{STr} \left[\mathcal{J}_{+} \left(\mathcal{P}_{-}^{\eta_{L,R}} \frac{1}{1 - I_{\eta_{L,R}} R_{f} \mathcal{P}_{-}^{\eta_{L,R}}} \mathcal{J}_{-} \right) \right] \quad [\text{Hoare '14}]$$

$$R_{f} = \text{Ad}_{f}^{-1} R \text{Ad}_{f} \quad I_{\eta_{L,R}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \eta_{L}^{2}\right) \left(1 - \eta_{R}^{2}\right)}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{L} \mathbb{1} & 0\\ 0 & \eta_{R} \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\pm}^{\eta_{L,R}} = P_{2} \mp \frac{\sqrt{\left(1 - \eta_{L}^{2}\right) \left(1 - \eta_{R}^{2}\right)}}{2} \left(\mathcal{P}_{1} - \mathcal{P}_{3} \right)$$

with single-parameter $\eta_{L,R} = \eta$ and undeformed $\eta_{L,R} \to 0$ case. The fundamental R-matrix defined on $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{u}(1) \in \mathfrak{psu}(1|1)^2 \ltimes \mathfrak{u}(1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3)$ is entirely fixed by co-commutativity with the coproduct

$$\Delta^{op}(\mathfrak{J})R = R\Delta(\mathfrak{J}) \quad \Delta^{op}(\mathfrak{J}) = \mathcal{P}\Delta(\mathfrak{J})$$

Anton Pribytok

Double q-deformed algebra and representations

$$\begin{split} [\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{O}_{\pm}] &= \pm 2i\mathfrak{O}_{\pm} & [\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{S}_{\pm}] = \pm 2i\mathfrak{S}_{\pm} \\ \{\mathfrak{O}_{+},\mathfrak{S}_{-}\} &= \mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{C}_{L} & \{\mathfrak{O}_{-},\mathfrak{S}_{+}\} = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{C}_{R} \\ \{\mathfrak{O}_{+},\mathfrak{O}_{-}\} &= \mathfrak{P} & \{\mathfrak{S}_{+},\mathfrak{S}_{-}\} = \mathfrak{K} \end{split}$$

with \mathfrak{B} an automorphism of $\mathfrak{u}(1)$, supercharges \mathfrak{O}_{\pm} , \mathfrak{S}_{\pm} , and central elements \mathfrak{M} , \mathfrak{C} , \mathfrak{P} , \mathfrak{K} . For that one to deform central elements of the superalgebras separately:

$$\{\mathfrak{O}_{\alpha},\mathfrak{S}_{\beta}\}=[\mathfrak{C}_{I}]_{q_{I}}=\frac{\mathfrak{V}_{I}-\mathfrak{V}_{I}^{-1}}{q_{I}-q_{I}^{-1}},\ \mathfrak{V}_{I}=q_{I}^{\mathfrak{C}_{I}}\quad \alpha=\pm,\beta=\mp,I=L/R$$

Corproduct structure is defined through generator action on tensor product representations

$$\begin{split} \Delta(\mathfrak{O}_{+}) &= \mathfrak{O}_{+} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{V}_{L} \otimes \mathfrak{O}_{+} & \Delta(\mathfrak{O}_{-}) = \mathfrak{O}_{-} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{V}_{R} \otimes \mathfrak{O}_{-} \\ \Delta(\mathfrak{S}_{+}) &= \mathfrak{S}_{\pm} \otimes \mathfrak{V}_{R}^{-1} + \mathfrak{U}^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\pm} & \Delta(\mathfrak{S}_{-}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\pm} \otimes \mathfrak{V}_{L}^{-1} + \mathfrak{U}^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\pm} \\ \Delta(\mathfrak{P}) &= \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathfrak{U}^{2}\mathfrak{V}_{L}\mathfrak{V}_{R} \otimes \mathfrak{P} & \Delta(\mathfrak{K}) = \mathfrak{K} \otimes \mathfrak{V}_{L}^{-1}\mathfrak{V}_{R}^{-1} + \mathfrak{U}^{-2} \otimes \mathfrak{K} \end{split}$$

Free Fermion Condition

Classes

These two classes could identified by the R algebraic condition

$$\frac{\left[r_{1}r_{4}+r_{2}r_{3}-(r_{5}r_{6}+r_{7}r_{8})\right]^{2}}{r_{1}r_{2}r_{3}r_{4}}=\mathfrak{c}_{\mathsf{B}}$$

where $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathsf{B}}$ constitutes a characteristic Baxter constant with

J	$\mathfrak{c}_{B}=0,$	Free Fermion constraint	[B]
	$\mathfrak{c}_{B}\neq 0,$	Baxter constraint	[A]

For AdS_3 with RR, the massless *R*-matrix is described by nested BA, where pseudovacuum consisting of $|\phi\rangle$ is level-one pseudovacuum and not the corresponding BMN vacuum of all $|Z\rangle$ [Ohlsson Sax et. al. '12], so that for the transfer matrix

$$t_N = \operatorname{str}_0 R_{01}(\theta_0 - \theta_1) \dots R_{0N}(\theta_0 - \theta_N)$$
(1)

FF: Pure RR flux

For AdS_3 with pure Ramond-Ramond, the massless *R*-matrix is described by nested BA, where pseudovacuum consisting of $|\phi\rangle$ is level-one pseudovacuum and not the corresponding BMN vacuum of all $|Z\rangle$ [Ohlsson Sax et. al. '12], so that for the transfer matrix

$$t_N = \mathsf{str}_0 R_{01}(\theta_0 - \theta_1) ... R_{0N}(\theta_0 - \theta_N)$$

$$t_{2} = \frac{1 - b_{01}b_{02}}{a_{01}a_{02}} \left(m_{1}m_{2} - n_{1}n_{2}\right) + \frac{b_{01} - b_{02}}{a_{01}a_{02}} \left(m_{1}n_{2} - n_{1}m_{2}\right) + c_{1}^{\dagger}c_{2} - c_{1}c_{2}^{\dagger}$$
$$= \frac{1}{a_{12}}\mathbb{1} - e^{-\frac{\theta_{12}}{2}}c_{1}^{\dagger}c_{1} - e^{\frac{\theta_{12}}{2}}c_{2}^{\dagger}c_{2} + c_{1}^{\dagger}c_{2} - c_{1}c_{2}^{\dagger}$$

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = \cos \alpha \, \eta_1 - \sin \alpha \, \eta_2 \\ c_2 = \sin \alpha \, \eta_1 + \cos \alpha \, \eta_2 \\ \cot 2\alpha = \sinh \frac{\theta_{12}}{2} \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

FF: Mixed flux

In the massless RR-NSNS flux case one can acquire the transformations

$$t_2^{\mathsf{RR-NS}} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}\mathbb{N}_1 + (\mathfrak{b} - 2)\mathbb{N}_2 + \mathfrak{c}\mathbb{N}_1\mathbb{N}_2$$

with

$$\mathfrak{a} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(2\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \theta_2)} \left(e^{2i\frac{\pi}{k} + 2\theta_0} - e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\right)}{e^{2i\frac{\pi}{k}} - 1}}{\mathfrak{b} = \frac{1 + e^{i\frac{\pi}{k}} - e^{i\pi\frac{\pi}{k} + \theta_0 - \frac{\theta_1}{2} - \frac{\theta_2}{2}} - e^{\frac{1}{2}(-2\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \theta_2)}}{1 + e^{i\frac{\pi}{k}}}}{\mathfrak{c} = 2i\sinh\left(\theta_0 - \frac{\theta_1}{2} - \frac{\theta_2}{2}\right)\tan\frac{\pi}{2k}}$$

Anton Pribytok

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

FF: Massive AdS₃

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{m}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{d}\mathsf{S}_3} &= \mathfrak{A} \, \mathcal{E}_{11} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{11} + \mathfrak{B} \mathcal{E}_{11} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{22} + \mathfrak{C} \mathcal{E}_{21} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{12} \\ &- \mathfrak{F} \mathcal{E}_{22} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{22} + \mathfrak{G} \mathcal{E}_{22} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{11} - \mathfrak{H} \mathcal{E}_{12} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{21}, \end{split}$$

it can be established that the R-matrix functions satisfy

$$\mathfrak{AF} + \mathfrak{BG} = \mathfrak{C}^2 \qquad \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{H}$$
(2)

which can be demonstrated to generate FF condition for the massive case. In the FF reduced form it appears analogous to massless case, albeit distinct α -parametrisation

$$\tan 2\alpha = \frac{2\mathfrak{H}}{\mathfrak{G} - \mathfrak{B}} = -2\left(\frac{x_{\rho}^{-}}{x_{\rho}^{+}}\frac{x_{q}^{+}}{x_{q}^{-}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\frac{\sqrt{x_{\rho}^{-} - x_{\rho}^{+}}\sqrt{x_{q}^{-} - x_{q}^{+}}}{\sqrt{\frac{x_{\rho}^{-}}{x_{\rho}^{+}}(x_{\rho}^{+} - x_{q}^{+}) - \sqrt{\frac{x_{q}^{+}}{x_{q}^{-}}(x_{\rho}^{-} - x_{q}^{-})}}$$

This parametrisation takes finite non-trivial value in the BMN limit (\mathbb{R} in the physical neighbourhood).

Anton Pribytok

Braiding properties constitute an important operator integrability characteristic and follow from the braiding unitarity constraint

Braiding unitarity

$$R^{\mathcal{X}} P \bar{R}^{\bar{\mathcal{X}}} P = \mathfrak{B}^{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{1}$$

where $R \equiv R(u, v)$, $\mathfrak{B} \equiv \mathfrak{B}(u, v)$, the chiral sector \mathcal{X} and bar implies swap of spectral parameters and chiralities (only mixed sectors affected).

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{B}^{\mathsf{LL}} &= \frac{h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(u) - h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(v)}{h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(u) - h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(u)} \frac{h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(v) - h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(u)}{h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(v) - h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(v)} \sigma^{\mathsf{LL}}(u, v) \sigma^{\mathsf{LL}}(v, u) \\ \mathfrak{B}^{\mathsf{RR}} &= \frac{h_2^{\mathsf{R}}(u) - h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(v)}{h_2^{\mathsf{R}}(u) - h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(u)} \frac{h_2^{\mathsf{R}}(v) - h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(v)}{h_2^{\mathsf{R}}(v) - h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(v)} \sigma^{\mathsf{RR}}(u, v) \sigma^{\mathsf{RR}}(v, u) \\ \mathfrak{B}^{\mathsf{LR}} &= \frac{1 + h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(u) h_2^{\mathsf{R}}(v)}{1 + h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(u) h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(v)} \frac{1 + h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(v) h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(u)}{1 + h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(v) h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(u)} \sigma^{\mathsf{LR}}(u, v) \sigma^{\mathsf{RL}}(v, u) \\ \mathfrak{B}^{\mathsf{RL}} &= \frac{1 + h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(v) h_2^{\mathsf{R}}(u)}{1 + h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(v) h_2^{\mathsf{R}}(u)} \frac{1 + h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(v) h_1^{\mathsf{L}}(u)}{1 + h_1^{\mathsf{R}}(v) h_2^{\mathsf{L}}(v)} \sigma^{\mathsf{RL}}(u, v) \sigma^{\mathsf{LR}}(v, u) \end{split}$$

For the full R embedding

$$R(u,v)PR(v,u)P = B(u,v)\mathbb{1}$$
 iff $\mathfrak{B}^{\{LL,RR,LR,RL\}} = B$

Crossing symmetry: 6vB

- Generically individual blocks obey crossing symmetry and braiding unitarity. It is important to resolve if **full scattering operator** does.
- The crossing symmetry works for $AdS_{\{2,3\}}$ bosofermionic R for generic k, although conjugation operator of AdS_2 require s further analysis.

The $6vB AdS_3$ deformation *R*-matrix satisfies crossing through

$$\mathbb{C}_{i}R(u+\Delta_{\omega,1},v+\Delta_{\omega,2})^{t_{i}}\mathbb{C}_{i}^{-1} = R(u,v)^{-1} \qquad \begin{cases} i=1, \quad \Delta_{\omega,1}=\omega, \ \Delta_{\omega,2}=0\\ i=2, \quad \Delta_{\omega,1}=0, \ \Delta_{\omega,2}=-\omega \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbb{C}_{AdS_{3}}^{6vB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where by *i* we identify the corresponding vector space, t_i are transpositions in space *i* and ω is a crossing parameter.

6vB Crossing constraining

The above appears to hold under

• Constraint on $h_i^{L/R}(u \pm \omega)$ with i = 1, 2

$$h_i^{\mathsf{R}}(u\pm\omega) = -\frac{1}{h_i^{\mathsf{L}}(u)}, \qquad \qquad h_i^{\mathsf{L}}(u\pm\omega) = -\frac{1}{h_i^{\mathsf{R}}(u)} \qquad (3)$$

which implies

$$h_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u) = h_i^{\mathbf{x}}(u \pm 2\omega) \tag{4}$$

 \bullet Constraint on $\mathfrak X$ and $\mathfrak Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{x}_{1}}(u \pm 2\omega) &= -\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{x}_{1}}(u) & \mathfrak{X}^{\mathsf{R}}(u) = \mathfrak{X}^{\mathsf{L}}(u + \omega) \\ \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{x}_{1}}(u \pm 2\omega) &= -\mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{x}_{1}}(u) & \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathsf{R}}(u) = \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathsf{L}}(u + \omega) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformations

6vB Crossing constraining

• Constraining the scalar $\sigma\text{-factors}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{x_{2}x_{1}}(u, v - \omega) &= \sigma^{x_{1}x_{2}}(u + \omega, v) \\ \sigma^{x_{1}x_{1}}(u, v - \omega) &= -h_{2}^{x_{1}}(u)h_{2}^{x_{2}}(v)\sigma^{x_{2}x_{2}}(u + \omega, v) \\ \sigma^{x_{1}x_{2}}(u + \omega, v)\sigma^{x_{2}x_{2}}(u, v) &= \frac{h_{2}^{x_{2}}(u) - h_{1}^{x_{2}}(u)}{h_{2}^{x_{2}}(v) - h_{1}^{x_{2}}(u)} \\ \sigma^{x_{1}x_{1}}(u + \omega, v)\sigma^{x_{2}x_{1}}(u, v) &= \frac{h_{2}^{x_{2}}(u) - h_{1}^{x_{2}}(u)}{h_{2}^{x_{2}}(u)} \frac{1 + h_{1}^{x_{1}}(v)h_{2}^{x_{2}}(u)}{(1 + h_{1}^{x_{2}}(u)h_{1}^{x_{1}}(v))(1 + h_{2}^{x_{2}}(u)h_{2}^{x_{1}}(v))} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_k = \{\mathsf{L},\,\mathsf{R}\}$ denotes appropriate chirality with k=1,2 and $\mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2.$

不同 トイモト イモト

Crossing symmetry: 8vB

In the $8vB AdS_2$ case one derives

$$\mathbb{C}_{i}R(u+\Delta_{\omega,1},\nu+\Delta_{\omega,2})^{st_{i}}\mathbb{C}_{i}^{-1}=R(u,\nu)^{-1}\qquad\begin{cases}i=1,\quad\Delta_{\omega,1}=\omega,\,\Delta_{\omega,2}=0\\i=2,\quad\Delta_{\omega,1}=0,\,\Delta_{\omega,2}=-\omega\end{cases}$$

since the R-matrix is in the bosofermionic form the super-transposition applies in the *i*-space and conjugation operator is obtained

$$\mathbb{C}_{AdS_2}^{8\mathsf{vB}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Despite that it is a deformation of the AdS_2 model, the conjugation operator is different from the one studied before (mapping to anti-particles). For the present case it is of super-type (boson \leftrightarrow fermion).

Crossing constraining: 8vB

The 8vB bosofermion R-matrix internal functions must satisfy

$$\eta(u+\omega) = -\eta(u) + 2\pi n \qquad \mathcal{F}(u+\omega) = \mathcal{F}(u) + 2n K$$
$$\eta(u-\omega) = -\eta(u) + 2\pi m \qquad \mathcal{F}(u-\omega) = \mathcal{F}(u) + 2m K$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the 1st kind elliptic integral $K(k^2)$. For the dressing factors one obtains

$$\sigma(u+\omega,v)\sigma(u,v) = \sigma(u,v-\omega)\sigma(u,v) = i\left[\left(\operatorname{sn}\Sigma\cos\eta_{-}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{cn}}{\operatorname{dn}}\Sigma\sin\eta_{-}\right)^{2} - 1\right]^{-1}$$

For the AdS_2 deformation the **boson-boson** *R*-matrix does satisfy crossing symmetry $\forall k \setminus \{k \to 1\}$, with conditionals of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

along with

$$\eta(u+\omega) = -\eta(u) + \pi n \qquad \mathcal{F}(u+\omega) = \mathcal{F}(u) + n K$$
$$\eta(u-\omega) = -\eta(u) - \pi m \qquad \mathcal{F}(u-\omega) = \mathcal{F}(u) + m K$$

where *m*, *n* hold to be odd.

Anton Pribytok

Automorphic Symmetries, String integrable structures and Deformations

Conclusions

- We have **constructed a method based on automorphic symmetries**, which appeared universal for many classes of integrable systems on the lattice, *e.g.* regardless dimension, symmetry, spectral dependence and other.
- We proposed generating automorphsm for non-difference form models arising in AdS_n integrable backgrounds.
- We developed *R*-matrix construction approach for string type setups and identified a set of invariant integrable transformations.
- It was shown that string type class **B** exhibits **free-fermion**, **braiding-unitarity**, **conjugation** and other properties.
- We have identified the properties and structure of the found $AdS_{\{2,3\}}$ deformed models as their **6vB**/**8vB** realisation.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Further directions

- Wrapping formalism for $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ RR and GSE derivation (deformed Lüscher formulation) [Frolov, AP to appear soon]
- To develop Generalised Algebraic Bethe Ansatz [Slavnov, Zabrodin, Zotov '20] with associated graded criterion (supersymmetric selection) for AdS_2 and its deformation (that also can be used to construct deformed algebra).
- Notion of generalised flux and control parameter in non-difference vertex models? Connection to RR-NSNS case?
- sl₂ sector provides other deformations: Do they relate to ADHR in a limit, other models? Is there interpretation in terms of inhomogeneous spin chains as of [Dedushenko, Gaiotto '20]?
- Quantum algebras and \mathcal{Y}_n ? Is there quantum cohomological classification? Belavin-Drinfeld classification?
- AdS_5 sector remains under consideration, but AdS_5 restricted ansatz might not provide more that deformation of the Hubbard chain.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Can one consider full \mathcal{PT} -invariant model classification and resolution?
- Are there Bethe Ansätze that would solve Generalised Hubbard type models or if Quantum Spectral Curve can be devloped for such models?
- Can this tell us more about generalised multi-layer symmetries in AdS₅ (as of [Mitev, Staudacher, Tsuboi '12], [Shiroishi, Wadati '95])? Should one consider generic ansatz based on Korepanov construction?
- Present 6vB does not admit 3-parametric deformation by appropriate spectral restrictions. However with procedure defined one can address construction of the scattering operator (not known even at algebraic level)? Relate it to Lukyanov 4-parametric NLSM? Limits, reductions in/to harmonic map problem and its symmetries?
- There also should be a possibility to restrict (spectrally) 6vB and 8vB class in order to develop full underlying deformed superalgebra, including RTT or Quantum Inverse Scattering Scattering.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >